arXiv:1503.04461v3 [math.AP] 22 Jun 2016

Exact Controllability of the Distributed System Governed by the Wave Equation with Memory

Igor Romanov [1] [2] Alexey Shamaev [3] [4]

Abstract

We will consider exact controllability of the distributed system governed by the wave equation with memory. It will be proved that this mechanical system can be driven to the null state in finite time, the absolute value of the distributed control function being bounded. In this case the memory kernel is a linear combination of exponentials.

Keyword: Controllability to rest, equation with memory, distributed control
MSC 2010: 93C20, 35L53

1 Introduction

In this article we will consider the problem of exact controllability of a system governed by integro-differential equation

$$\theta_{tt}(t,x) - K(0)\Delta\theta(t,x) - \int\limits_0^t K'(t-s)\Delta\theta(s,x)ds = u(t,x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0. \tag{1}$$

$$\theta|_{t=0} = \varphi_0(x), \quad \theta_t|_{t=0} = \varphi_1(x), \tag{2}$$

$$\theta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{3}$$

here

$$K(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{c_j}{\gamma_j} e^{-\gamma_j t},$$

where c_j, γ_j are given positive constant numbers, $u(t,x)$ is a control supported (in x) on a bounded domain Ω and $|u(t,x)| \leqslant M$, $M > 0$ is a given constant number. The goal of the control is to drive this mechanical system to the null state in finite time. We say that system is *controllable* when for every initial conditions φ_0, φ_1 we can find a control u such that the corresponding solution $\theta(t,x)$ of the problem (1)—(3) and its first derivative with respect to t $\theta_t(t,x)$ achieve zero at $t = T$.

Similar problems for membranes and plates were studied earlier in [1]. There was proved that vibrations of these mechanical systems can be driven to rest by applying bounded (in absolute value) and

---

[1] National Research University Higher School of Economics,
20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russia
[2] E-mail: *ivromm1@gmail.com*
[3] Institute for Problems in Mechanics RAS,
101 Prosp. Vernadskogo, Block 1, Moscow 119526, Russia
[4] Lomonosov Moscow State University,
GSP-1, Leninskie Gory, Moscow 119991, Russia

volume-distributed control functions. The existence of a bounded (in absolute value) boundary control that drives a string to rest was proved in [2]. In this case the so-called moment problem was effectively applied. An overview of the results concerning the boundary controllability of distributed systems can be found in [3]. Problems of controllability of systems similar to (1) were considered in [4]. There was formulated the condition under wich a solution to the heat equation with memory can not be driven to rest in a finite time. This condition is there are roots of some analytic function of complex variable in the domain of holomorphism.

Problems similar to (1)—(3) for integrodifferential equations were studied earlier in many articles. Equation (1) was firstly derivated in [5]. The solvability and asymptotic behavior for abstract equation of this type were investigated for example in [6], [7]. In [8] was proved that the energy for some dissipative system decays polynomially when the memory kernel decays exponentially. Problems of solvability of system (1)—(3) were considered in [9]. There was proved that the solution belongs to some Sobolev space on the semi-axis (in t) when the kernel $K(t)$ is the series of exponentials, each exponential function tending to zero when $t \to +\infty$. The explicit formulas for the solution of (1)—(2) were obtained in [10]. In this case kernel $K(t)$ is also the series of decreasing exponentials. It follows from these formulas that solutions tend to zero when $t \to +\infty$. In all these articles kernels in integral summands of the equation are suggested to be noneincreasing.

In this article we consider the so-called "null controllability". Besides we would like to mention controllability to rest. We say that the system in (1)—(3) is *controllable to rest* if for every initial conditions φ_0 and φ_1, it is possible find a control $u(t,x)$ and a time $T > 0$ such that $u(t,x)$ is equal to zero for any $t > T$ and the corresponding solution $\theta(t,x,u)$ of problem (1)–(3) equals zero for any $t > T$ too. Null controllability and controllability to rest are not the same for systems with memory. In many cases controllability to rest is impossible. Let us consider for example the one-dimensional case (Ω is an interval $(0,\pi)$). We prove now that the system (1) is uncontrollable to rest if $u(t,x) \in C([0,\infty), L_2(0,\pi))$ is supported (in x), as well as in [4], on an interval $[a,b]$ which is properly contained in $[0,\pi]$. It means that $u(t,x) \equiv 0$ outside $[a,b]$. It is clear that the equation (1) can be written in the following form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\theta_t(t,x) - \int\limits_0^t K(t-s)\theta_{xx}(s,x)ds - \int\limits_0^t u(s,x)ds\right) = 0.$$

Obviously function $\theta(t,x)$ is a solution of the equation (1) if and only if this function is a solution of the following equation

$$\theta_t(t,x) - \int\limits_0^t K(t-s)\theta_{xx}(s,x)ds - \int\limits_0^t u(s,x)ds = f(x), \tag{4}$$

where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary function. Let t in (4) is equal to zero then we obtain

$$f(x) = \varphi_1(x).$$

Let $\varphi_1(x) \equiv 0$. We introduce

$$P(t,x) = \int\limits_0^t u(s,x)ds.$$

Thus the problem (1)—(3) reduces to the problem

$$\theta_t(t,x) - \int\limits_0^t K(t-s)\theta_{xx}(s,x)ds = P(t,x), \quad x \in (0;\pi), \quad t > 0. \tag{5}$$

$$\theta|_{t=0} = \varphi_0(x), \tag{6}$$

$$\theta|_{x=0} = 0, \quad \theta|_{x=\pi} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Note that $P(t,x) \equiv 0$ outside $[a,b]$ and $P(t,x)$ can be considered as a new control function. It is the problem considered in [4] (in more general case). If $K(t)$ is a linear combination of two exponentials then the system (5)—(7) is uncontrollable to rest. It means that there is initial condition φ_0 such that for any control $P(t,x)$, where $P(t,x)$ belongs to the corresponding space, the solution of (5)—(7) can not be driven to rest.

Using arguments similar to the above it can be proved that the system (1)—(3) is uncontrollable to rest if $K(t)$ is a linear combination of N exponentials, where $N \geqslant 2$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $A := -\Delta$ be an operator acting on a space $D(A) := H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subset R^s$ $(s \in \mathbf{N})$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary. Let also $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a corresponding orthonormal system of eigenfunctions and $\{\alpha_n^2\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ are corresponding eigenvalues such as $\Delta\psi_n(x) + \alpha_n^2\psi_n(x) = 0$.

We denote $W_{2,\gamma}^2(R_+, A)$ the linear space of functions $f : R_+ = (0, +\infty) \to D(A)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|\theta\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(R_+,A)} = \left(\int\limits_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \left(\left\|\theta^{(2)}(t)\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|A\theta(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \gamma \geq 0.$$

For more details about $W_{2,\gamma}^2(R_+, A)$ see chapter 1 of the monograph [11].

Definition 1. A function $\theta(t,x)$ is called a strong solution of the problem (1)—(3) if for some $\gamma \geq 0$ this function belongs to the space $W_{2,\gamma}^2(R_+, A)$, satisfies the equation (1) nearly everywhere (in t) on the positive semiaxis R_+ and satisfies the initial conditions (2).

Let us denote a function of complex variable λ

$$l_n(\lambda) := \lambda^2 + \alpha_n^2\lambda\hat{K}(\lambda),$$

where

$$\hat{K}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{c_k}{\gamma_k(\lambda + \gamma_k)}.$$

Now we formulate two theorems (see [10]) which are dedicated to correct solvability of the initial boundary-value problem (1)—(3).

Theorem 1. Let $u(t,x) \equiv 0$ when $t \in R_+$, the function $\theta(t,x) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(R_+, A)$, $\gamma > 0$, is a strong solution of the problem (1)—(3), then for any $t \in R_+$ the following representation is obtained:

$$\theta(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^+\varphi_{0n})e^{\lambda_n^+ t}\psi_n(x)}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^-\varphi_{0n})e^{\lambda_n^- t}\psi_n(x)}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)} +$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{(\varphi_{1n}-q_{k,n}\varphi_{0n})e^{-q_{k,n}t}}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})}\right)\psi_n(x), \tag{8}$$

where $-q_{k,n}$ are real zeros of the function $l_n(\lambda)$ $(q_{k,n}>0)$, $\lambda_n^{\pm}$ is a pair of complex conjugate zeros and the series (8) converges in the norm of the space $L_2(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2. Let $u(t,x)\in C([0,T],L_2(\Omega))$ for any $T>0$, $\theta(t,x)\in W_{2,\gamma}^2(R_+,A)$ is a strong solution of the problem (1)—(3) for some $\gamma>0$, $\varphi_0=\varphi_1=0$. Then for any $t\in R_+$ the following representation is obtained:

$$\theta(t,x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\omega_n(t,\lambda_n^+)\psi_n(x)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\omega_n(t,\lambda_n^-)\psi_n(x)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\omega_n(t,-q_{k,n})\right)\psi_n(x), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\omega_n(t,\lambda)=\frac{\int\limits_0^t u_n(s)e^{\lambda(t-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda)},\quad u_n(t)=\frac{2}{\pi}\int\limits_0^{\pi}u(t,x)\psi_n(x)dx$$

and the series (9) converges in the norm of the space $L_2(\Omega)$.

The following lemma should be stated.

Lemma 1. For any natural number n the equality holds

$$\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}+\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})}=0.$$

Proof. We shall deal with the solution of the problem (1)—(3) in the case of $\varphi_0=\varphi_1=0$. According to the theorem 2 this solution has the form of (9), $u(t,x)$ being arbitrary and satisfying theorem conditions. Taking partial derivative of $\theta(t,x)$ with respect to t we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\theta(t,x)}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}+\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})}\right)u_n(t)\psi_n(x)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n^+\omega_n(t,\lambda_n^+)\psi_n(x)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n^-\omega_n(t,\lambda_n^-)\psi_n(x)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}(-q_{k,n})\omega_n(t,-q_{k,n})\right)\psi_n(x). \tag{10}$$

Since $\theta_t(t,x)|_{t=0}=0$ then for any natural number n from (10) arises

$$\left(\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}+\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})}\right)u_n(0)=0. \tag{11}$$

By virtue of the fact that $u(t,x)$ is arbitrary, it is chosen in such a way that all its Fourier coefficients $u_n(t)$ with respect to $t=0$ are nonzero. Thus, dividing by $u_n(0)$ the equation (7), we obtain the required statement. Lemma is proved. □

Let us consider the space l_β of sequences $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ such that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |c_n|^2 \alpha_n^{2\beta}$$

converges. Then we define the space

$$D(A^\beta) = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f_n \psi_n(x) : \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty} \in l_\beta \right\}.$$

3 The main results

It is the following theorem, which presents the main result of the article.

Theorem 3 Let $\varphi_0 \in D(A^{\beta+1})$ and $\varphi_1 \in D(A^\beta)$, where $\beta > \frac{s}{2}$, $M > 0$ is a certain constant. Then, there are, depending on the value M, control $u(t,x) \in C([0,T] \times \Omega)$ and the time $T > 0$, such that the solution of the problem (1)—(3) has the equalities

$$\theta(T,x) = \theta'_t(T,x) = 0, \tag{12}$$

and the restriction

$$|u(t,x)| \leqslant M,$$

for any $t \in (0,T]$, $x \in \Omega$ is done.

Proof. Let $u(t,x)$ be the function, satisfying the theorem conditions, T is some instant of time. According to the theorems 1 and 2, the solution of the task (1)—(3) could be represented as (8) and (9). Hence we obtain

$$\theta(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^+ \varphi_{0n}) e^{\lambda_n^+ t} \psi_n(x)}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^- \varphi_{0n}) e^{\lambda_n^- t} \psi_n(x)}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{(\varphi_{1n} - q_{k,n}\varphi_{0n}) e^{-q_{k,n} t}}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})} \right) \psi_n(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int\limits_0^t u_n(s) e^{\lambda_n^+ (t-s)} ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)} \psi_n(x) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int\limits_0^t u_n(s) e^{\lambda_n^- (t-s)} ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)} \psi_n(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\int\limits_0^t u_n(s) e^{-q_{k,n}(t-s)} ds}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})} \right) \psi_n(x). \tag{13}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial \Theta(t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^+ (\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^+ \varphi_{0n}) e^{\lambda_n^+ t} \psi_n(x)}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^- (\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^- \varphi_{0n}) e^{\lambda_n^- t} \psi_n(x)}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{(-q_{k,n})(\varphi_{1n} - q_{k,n}\varphi_{0n}) e^{-q_{k,n} t}}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})} \right) \psi_n(x) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}+\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}+\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_n)}\right)u_n(t)\psi_n(x)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_n^+\int\limits_0^t u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^+(t-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}\psi_n(x)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_n^-\int\limits_0^t u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^-(t-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}\psi_n(x)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(\frac{(-q_{k,n})\int\limits_0^t u_n(s)e^{-q_{k,n}(t-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})}\right)\psi_n(x). \tag{14}$$

Note that the fourth summand in (14) is equal to zero, see lemma 1. Using conditions (12) and formulas (13), (14) we obtain

$$-\left(\frac{(\varphi_{1n}+\lambda_n^+\varphi_{0n})e^{\lambda_n^+T}}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}+\frac{(\varphi_{1n}+\lambda_n^-\varphi_{0n})e^{\lambda_n^-T}}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{(\varphi_{1n}-q_{k,n}\varphi_{0n})e^{-q_{k,n}T}}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})}\right)=$$

$$=\frac{\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^+(T-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}+\frac{\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^-(T-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}+$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{-q_{k,n}(T-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})},\quad n=1,2,..., \tag{15}$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_n^+(\varphi_{1n}+\lambda_n^+\varphi_{0n})e^{\lambda_n^+T}}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}-\frac{\lambda_n^-(\varphi_{1n}+\lambda_n^-\varphi_{0n})e^{\lambda_n^-T}}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}-$$

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{(-q_{k,n})(\varphi_{1n}-q_{k,n}\varphi_{0n})e^{-q_{k,n}T}}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})}=$$

$$=\frac{\lambda_n^+\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^+(T-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)}+\frac{\lambda_n^-\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^-(T-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)}+$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{(-q_{k,n})\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{-q_{k,n}(T-s)}ds}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})},\quad n=1,2,...\quad . \tag{16}$$

We introduce

$$a_n=-(\varphi_{1n}+\lambda_n^+\varphi_{0n}),\quad \bar{a}_n=-(\varphi_{1n}+\lambda_n^-\varphi_{0n}),$$

$$b_{k,n}=-(\varphi_{1n}+(-q_{k,n})\varphi_{0n}),\quad k=1,2,...,N-1.$$

Let set equal coefficients preceding

$$\frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^+)},\quad \frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(\lambda_n^-)},\quad \frac{1}{l_n^{(1)}(-q_{k,n})},\quad k=1,2,...,N-1.$$

in the right and left parts of equations (15), (16). Thus a new moments problem occurs:

$$\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^+(T-s)}ds = a_n e^{\lambda_n^+ T}, \quad \int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n^-(T-s)}ds = \bar{a}_n e^{\lambda_n^- T}, \quad n = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{-q_{k,n}(T-s)}ds = b_{k,n}e^{-q_{k,n}T}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N-1, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \quad . \tag{17}$$

Obviously if moments problem (17) is solvable then moments problem (15), (16) is solvable too. Elimination of common factors in both parts (17) allows to represent this system as follows

$$\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{-\lambda_n^+ s}ds = a_n, \quad \int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{-\lambda_n^- s}ds = \bar{a}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{q_{k,n}s}ds = b_{k,n}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N-1, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \quad . \tag{18}$$

Let us replace $-\lambda_n^+ = \lambda_n$ and $-\lambda_n^- = \overline{\lambda}_n$ in (18). Notice that $Re\lambda_n > 0$ and $q_{k,n} > 0$ (see [6]). Finally we obtain the system of N moments for each natural number n:

$$\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\lambda_n s}ds = a_n, \quad \int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{\overline{\lambda}_n s}ds = \bar{a}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$\int\limits_0^T u_n(s)e^{q_{k,n}s}ds = b_{k,n}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N-1, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \quad . \tag{19}$$

The solution of (19) is sought in the following form:

$$u_n(s) = C_{-1,n}e^{\lambda_n s} + C_{0,n}e^{\overline{\lambda}_n s} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} C_{j,n}e^{q_{j,n}s}, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \quad . \tag{20}$$

Set $C_{-1,n}$ $C_{0,n}$ and $C_{k,n}$ as some unknown constants. Substituting (20) in (19), we get the system of $N+1$ algebraic equations for each natural number n:

$$C_{-1,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{2\lambda_n s}ds + C_{0,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n+\overline{\lambda}_n)s}ds + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} C_{k,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n+q_{k,n})s}ds = a_n,$$

$$C_{-1,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+\lambda_n)s}ds + C_{0,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n s}ds + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} C_{k,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{k,n})s}ds = a_n,$$

$$C_{-1,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n+q_{k,n})s}ds + C_{0,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{k,n})s}ds + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} C_{j,n}\int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{j,n}+q_{k,n})s}ds = b_{k,n}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N-1. \tag{21}$$

Let us find the determinant Δ_n of the problem (21).

$$\Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} \int\limits_0^T e^{2\lambda_n s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n+\overline{\lambda}_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n+q_{1,n})s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n+q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+\lambda_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{1,n})s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{1,n}+\lambda_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{2q_{1,n} s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{1,n}+q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{N-1,n}+\lambda_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{N-1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{N-1,n}+q_{1,n})s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{2q_{N-1,n} s} ds \end{vmatrix}$$

As far as

$$\int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{i,n}+q_{j,n})s} ds = \frac{1}{q_{i,n}+q_{j,n}} e^{(q_{i,n}+q_{j,n})T} - \frac{1}{q_{i,n}+q_{j,n}}, \tag{22}$$

then using the equality (22) and well known property of determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{i1}+c_{i1} & b_{i2}+c_{i2} & \dots & b_{in}+c_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{i1} & b_{i2} & \dots & b_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} +$$

$$+ \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{i1} & c_{i2} & \dots & c_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

we obtain that

$$\Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{e^{2\lambda_n T}}{2\lambda_n} & \frac{e^{(\lambda_n+\overline{\lambda}_n)T}}{\lambda_n+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{e^{(\lambda_n+q_{1,n})T}}{\lambda_n+q_{1,n}} & \dots & \frac{e^{(\lambda_n+q_{N-1,n})T}}{\lambda_n+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+\lambda_n)T}}{\overline{\lambda}_n+\lambda_n} & \frac{e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T}}{2\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{1,n})T}}{\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{1,n}} & \dots & \frac{e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{N-1,n})T}}{\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{e^{(q_{1,n}+\lambda_n)T}}{q_{1,n}+\lambda_n} & \frac{e^{(q_{1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n)T}}{q_{1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{e^{2q_{1,n} T}}{2q_{1,n}} & \dots & \frac{e^{(q_{1,n}+q_{N-1,n})T}}{q_{1,n}+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ \frac{e^{(q_{N-1,n}+\lambda_n)T}}{q_{N-1,n}+\lambda_n} & \frac{e^{(q_{N-1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n)T}}{q_{N-1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{e^{(q_{N-1,n}+q_{1,n})T}}{q_{N-1,n}+q_{1,n}} & \dots & \frac{e^{2q_{N-1,n} T}}{2q_{N-1,n}} \end{vmatrix} + \beta_n(T), \tag{24}$$

where $\beta_n(T)$ is a sum of all other determinants, which are the result of $N+1$-fold application of the property (23) to the each row of the determinant Δ_n.

Let us factor out $e^{\lambda_n T}$ from the first row of the determinant in the right part of (24), and then take out the same factor from the first column, now the similar action can be made for the second row and column with the factor $e^{\overline{\lambda}_n T}$, and so on.

Thus we get that

$$\Delta_n = e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{2q_{j,n}T} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n+q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\lambda_n+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_n+\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{2\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{2q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2q_{N-1,n}} \end{vmatrix} + \beta_n(T). \quad (25)$$

Denote

$$\bar{\Delta}_n = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n+q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\lambda_n+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_n+\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{2\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_n+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{2q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+\lambda_n} & \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+\overline{\lambda}_n} & \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+q_{1,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2q_{N-1,n}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Delta_n = e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{2q_{j,n}T} \left(\bar{\Delta}_n + e^{-2\lambda_n T} e^{-2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{-2q_{j,n}T} \beta_n(T) \right).$$

In virtue of the definition of $\beta_n(T)$, the following fact takes place:

$$\left| e^{-2\lambda_n T} e^{-2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{-2q_{j,n}T} \beta_n(T) \right| \to 0, \quad T \to +\infty.$$

Let us represent the determinant $\bar{\Delta}_n$ in following form

$$\bar{\Delta}_n = \frac{1}{(2Re\lambda_n)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2q_{1,n}} & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+q_{2,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{1}{q_{2,n}+q_{1,n}} & \frac{1}{2q_{2,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{2,n}+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+q_{1,n}} & \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+q_{2,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2q_{N-1,n}} \end{vmatrix} + \Lambda_n, \quad (26)$$

where Λ_n is the sum of all other determinants, occurred after the decomposition. Notice that there is λ_n^2 or λ_n^3 in all summands in denominator after the expansion of these determinants, i.e. $|\Lambda_n| \sim \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^2}$.

Let us make the following notation

$$P_n = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2q_{1,n}} & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+q_{2,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{1,n}+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \frac{1}{q_{2,n}+q_{1,n}} & \frac{1}{2q_{2,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{q_{2,n}+q_{N-1,n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+q_{1,n}} & \frac{1}{q_{N-1,n}+q_{2,n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2q_{N-1,n}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

P_n is the Cauchy determinant. It is a well known fact that

$$P_n = \frac{\prod\limits_{N-1 \geq i > j \geq 1} (q_{i,n} - q_{j,n})^2}{\prod\limits_{i,j=1}^{N-1} (q_{i,n} + q_{j,n})}.$$

As far as $q_{i,n}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N-1$ are pairwise different for any n (see [6]), then P_n is nonzero. Hence,

$$\Delta_n = e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{2q_{j,n}T} \left(\frac{1}{(2Re\lambda_n)^2} P_n + \Lambda_n + e^{-2\lambda_n T} e^{-2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{-2q_{j,n}T} \beta_n(T) \right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2Re\lambda_n)^2} P_n e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{2q_{j,n}T} \left(1 + \frac{(2Re\lambda_n)^2}{P_n} \Lambda_n + \frac{(2Re\lambda_n)^2}{P_n} e^{-2\lambda_n T} e^{-2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{-2q_{j,n}T} \beta_n(T) \right).$$

Let us denote

$$\bar{\Lambda}_n = \frac{(2Re\lambda_n)^2}{P_n} \Lambda_n, \quad \bar{\beta}_n(T) = \frac{(2Re\lambda_n)^2}{P_n} e^{-2\lambda_n T} e^{-2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{-2q_{j,n}T} \beta_n(T).$$

It leads to the following:

$$\Delta_n = \frac{1}{(2Re\lambda_n)^2} P_n e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{2q_{j,n}T} \left(1 + \bar{\Lambda}_n + \bar{\beta}_n(T) \right). \tag{27}$$

Notice that $|\bar{\Lambda}_n| \sim \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^2}$, $\bar{\beta}_n(T) \to 0$ if $T \to +\infty$, the sequence of the modules of complex roots $\{|\lambda_n|\}$ tends to $+\infty$ if $n \to +\infty$, but the sequence of real numbers $\{q_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to some positive number q_k, actually $q_{k,n} = q_k + O(n^{-2})$ (see [12]). Thus due to the asymptotic properties of λ_n and $q_{k,n}$ there is T such that all determinants Δ_n are nonzero for any natural index n.

Let us determine $\Delta_{0,n}$ by the formula:

$$\Delta_{-1,n} = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n + \overline{\lambda}_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n + q_{1,n})s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n + q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \overline{a}_n & \int\limits_0^T e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n + q_{1,n})s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n + q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ b_{1,n} & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{1,n} + \overline{\lambda}_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{2q_{1,n}s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{1,n} + q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{N-1,n} & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{N-1,n} + \overline{\lambda}_n)s} ds & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{N-1,n} + q_{1,n})s} ds & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{2q_{N-1,n}s} ds \end{vmatrix}.$$

Set likewise $\Delta_{k,n}$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N-1$:

$$\Delta_{k,n} = \begin{vmatrix} \int\limits_0^T e^{2\lambda_n s} ds & \dots & a_n & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\lambda_n + q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n + \lambda_n)s} ds & \dots & \overline{a}_n & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(\overline{\lambda}_n + q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{1,n} + \lambda_n)s} ds & \dots & b_{1,n} & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{1,n} + q_{N-1,n})s} ds \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int\limits_0^T e^{(q_{N-1,n} + \lambda_n)s} ds & \dots & b_{N-1,n} & \dots & \int\limits_0^T e^{2q_{N-1,n}s} ds \end{vmatrix},$$

where the column $\{a_n, \overline{a}_n, b_{1,n}, ..., b_{N-1,n}\}$ takes the k-th place.

Applying the Cramer's rule, we obtain:

$$C_{-1,n} = \frac{\Delta_{-1,n}}{\Delta_n}, \quad C_{0,n} = \frac{\Delta_{0,n}}{\Delta_n}, \quad C_{k,n} = \frac{\Delta_{k,n}}{\Delta_n}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N-1.$$

Thus the solution of (19) in the instant of time t has the following form:

$$u_n(t) = \frac{\Delta_{-1,n}}{\Delta_n} e^{\lambda_n t} + \frac{\Delta_{0,n}}{\Delta_n} e^{\overline{\lambda}_n t} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\Delta_{k,n}}{\Delta_n} e^{q_{k,n} t},$$

Let $\lambda_n = \mu_n - i\nu_n$. The article [6] proves, that $\mu_n, \nu_n > 0$ for any natural index n. The estimation of modulus of the function $u_n(t)$ for any natural n should be provided. So we have

$$|u_n(t)| \leqslant \frac{|\Delta_{-1,n}|}{|\Delta_n|} e^{\mu_n T} + \frac{|\Delta_{0,n}|}{|\Delta_n|} e^{\mu_n T} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{|\Delta_{k,n}|}{|\Delta_n|} e^{q_{k,n} T}. \tag{28}$$

Calculating determinants $\Delta_{-1,n}$, $\Delta_{0,n}$, $\Delta_{k,n}$, $k = 1, 2, ..., N-1$, it is clear that the part of summands consists of the different exponential product. Notice that the exponential product with the largest number of factors in the determinant $\Delta_{-1,n}$ has the form

$$e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} e^{2q_{1,n} T} e^{2q_{2,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{N-1,n} T} \quad \text{or} \quad e^{\lambda_n T} e^{\overline{\lambda}_n T} e^{2q_{1,n} T} e^{2q_{2,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{N-1,n} T}$$

$$\text{or} \quad e^{\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} e^{2q_{1,n} T} e^{2q_{2,n} T} \ldots e^{q_{j,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{N-1,n} T}.$$

Similarly for $\Delta_{0,n}$. And in $\Delta_{k,n}$:

$$e^{\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} e^{2q_{1,n} T} e^{2q_{2,n} T} \ldots e^{q_{k,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{N-1,n} T} \quad \text{or} \quad e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{\overline{\lambda}_n T} e^{2q_{1,n} T} e^{2q_{2,n} T} \ldots e^{q_{k,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{N-1,n} T}$$

$$\text{or} \quad e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} e^{2q_{1,n} T} e^{2q_{2,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{k-1,n} T} e^{2q_{k+1,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{N-1,n} T} \quad \text{or}$$

$$e^{2\lambda_n T} e^{2\overline{\lambda}_n T} e^{2q_{1,n} T} e^{2q_{2,n} T} \ldots e^{q_{k,n} T} \ldots e^{q_{j,n} T} \ldots e^{2q_{N-1,n} T}, \quad k \neq j.$$

Thus, there is at least one exponent with positive index in the denominator of all summands in the right part of the estimation (28). It means that it is possible to make the modulus of the function $u_n(t)$, and hence of the control $u(t)$, be indefinitely small by means of increasing time control. Using (27), we obtain

$$|u_n(t)| \leqslant \frac{4\mu_n^2}{|P_n| e^{4\mu_n T} \prod\limits_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{2q_{j,n} T} \left(1 - |\bar{\Lambda}_n| - |\bar{\beta}_n(T)|\right)} e^{\mu_n T} (|\Delta_{-1,n}| + |\Delta_{0,n}|) +$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{4\mu_n^2 |\Delta_{k,n}|}{|P_n| e^{4\mu_n T} \prod\limits_{j=1}^{N-1} e^{2q_{j,n} T} \left(1 - |\bar{\Lambda}_n| - |\bar{\beta}_n(T)|\right)} e^{q_{k,n} T}, \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{29}$$

Now it is obvious, that

$$|u(t,x)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n(t)|. \tag{30}$$

Using (28) and (29) let us prove that there is the time required to stabilize the system, providing that the function $u(t,x)$ satisfies the condition

$$|u(t,x)| \leqslant M, \tag{31}$$

where M is an arbitrary constant.

As far as the sequences of real numbers $\{\mu_n\}$, $\{\nu_n\}$, $\{q_{k,n}\}$ are such that $\mu_n = \mu + O(n^{-2})$, $\nu_n = Dn$ and $q_{k,n} = q_k + O(n^{-2})$, where μ, D, q_k are some positive numbers (see [6]), and moreover the sequences $\{|a_n|\}$, $\{|b_{k,n}|\}$, $\{|\Lambda_n|\}$ tend to zero, then the following estimate takes place

$$|u(t,x)| \leqslant \frac{c}{e^{c_1 T}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{2\beta} \left(|a_n|^2 + |\overline{a}_n|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} |b_{k,n}|^2 \right)} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-2\beta} \psi_n^2(x)}, \tag{32}$$

where c, c_1 are some constants. It is a well known fact that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-2\beta} \psi_n^2(x) \leqslant \text{const} \quad \text{if } 2\beta > s.$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{2\beta+2} \varphi_{0n}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \left(A^{\beta+1} \varphi_0(x) \right)^2 dx, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{2\beta} \varphi_{1n}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \left(A^{\beta} \varphi_1(x) \right)^2 dx.$$

The latter series converge if $A^{\beta+1}\varphi_0(x) \in L_2(\Omega)$ and $A^{\beta}\varphi_1(x) \in L_2(\Omega)$ then it must be $\varphi_0(x) \in D(A^{\beta+1})$ and $\varphi_1(x) \in D(A^{\beta})$. But in the theorem statement these conditions were exactly imposed on the initial data.

Thus we obtain

$$|u(t,x)| \leqslant \frac{c_2}{e^{c_1 T}} \leqslant M, \tag{33}$$

where c_1, c_2 are some constants, and T is great enough.

□

References

[1] F. L. Chernousko, Bounded Control in Distributed-Parameter Systems. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics.* **V. 56,** (5) (1992) 707-723.

[2] A. G. Butkovskiy, Optimal Control Theory of Distributed Systems (in Russian), 1965.

[3] J. L. Lions, Exact Controllability, Stabilization and Perturbations for Distributed Systems. *SIAM Review.* **V. 30** (1) (1988) 1-68.

[4] S. Ivanov, L. Pandofi, Heat Equations with Memory: Lack of Controllability to Rest. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.* **V. 355** (1) (2009) 1-11.

[5] M. E. Gurtin, A. C. Pipkin, Theory of Heat Conduction with Finite Wave Speed. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **31** (1968) 113-126.

[6] C. M. Dafermos, Asimptotic Stability in Viscoelasticity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **37** (1970) 297-308.

[7] W. Desh, R. K. Miller, Exponential Stabilization of Volterra Integrodifferential Equations in Hilbert Space. *Journal of Differential Equations.* **70** (1987) 366-389.

[8] J. E. Munoz Rivera, M. G. Naso, F. M. Vegni, Asymptotic Behavior of the Energy for a Class of Weakly Dissipative Second-Order Systems With Memory. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.* **286** (2003) 692-704.

[9] V. V. Vlasov, N. A. Rautian, A. S. Shamaev, Solvability and Spectral Analysis of Integro-Differential Equations Arising in the Theory of Heat Transfer and Acoustics. *Doklady Mathematics.* **V. 82** (2) (2010) 684-687.

[10] N. A. Rautian, On the structure and properties of solutions of integro-differential equations arising in thermal physics and acoustics. *Mathematical Notes.* **V. 90** (3) (2011) 455-459.

[11] J. L. Lions, E. Madgenes, Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1972.

[12] S. Ivanov, T. Sheronova, Spectrum of the Heat Equation with Memory. *arXiv.* **doi 0912.1818v3,** (2010) 10 p.